

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.04.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

W.P.No.10227 of 2019

T.Duraikannan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Authorised Officer (Land Reforms)
District Collectorate
Villupuram District.

... Respondent

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 13.12.2018 and to issue the documents mentioned in the representation within the time frame.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Arunachalam

For Respondent : Mr.S.N.Parthasarathy
Government Advocate

O R D E R

Mr.S.N.Parthasarathy, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The petitioner seeks for a mandamus directing the respondent to consider his representation dated 13.12.2018, wherein and whereby, he sought for issuance of certain documents.

3. Perusal of the said representation would show that there is no clarity as to what document, the petitioner has sought for in the representation. Therefore, it is for the petitioner to make proper representation with regard to clarity of the documents, which are sought for. Without doing so, this Court cannot issue any mandamus, based on the vague representation

made by the petitioner on 13.12.2018. Thus, this Writ Petition is disposed of, by granting liberty to the petitioner to make a fresh representation with clarity. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

mk


To

The Authorised Officer (Land Reforms)
District Collectorate
Villupuram District.

+1 cc to Mr.S.Arunachalam, Advocate, Sr.No. 32498
+1 cc to The Government Pleader, Sr.No. 34059

W.P.No.10227 of 2019

CSL/07.05.2019



WEB COPY