

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 27.02.2019

CORAM
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

W.P.No.5565 of 2019
and
W.M.P.No.6336 of 2019

1. Karuppannan
2. Kandasamy
3. Karumannan
4. Selamba Gounder
5. Marappan
6. Thangavel
7. Velusamy
8. Amaravathy
9. Palaniyappan
10. Anand
11. Manimohan
12. Thiyagarajan
13. Sasikumar
14. Meiyazhagan
15. Varadharajan
16. Kuppai
17. Karuppayi
18. Kandasamy

....Petitioners

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Namakkal District,
Namakkal.
2. The Chief Manager,
Power Grid Corporation of India Limited,
Karur TLC Office,
Coimbatore Trichy Main Road,
K.Paramathy Village & Post,
Karur District.

....Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records of the impugned orders in Na.Ka.26827/Varagoor (82/1)/2018/H.1 dated 09.02.2019 pertaining to the 1st petitioner, Na.Ka.26809/Parali (101/0)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019 pertaining to the 2nd petitioner, Na.Ka.26809/Parali (101/1)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019 pertaining to the 3rd petitioner, Na.Ka.26809/Parali (99/1)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019, pertaining

to the 4th petitioner, Na.Ka.26809/Parali (103/0)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019 pertaining to the 5th petitioner, Na.Ka.26809/Parali (102/3)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019 pertaining to the 6th petitioner, Na.Ka.26809/Parali (102/4)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019 pertaining to the 7th petitioner, Na.Ka.26812/Aariyur (106/0)/2018/H.1 dated 09.02.2019 pertaining to the 8th petitioner, Na.Ka.26812/Aariyur (107/0)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019 pertaining to the 9th & 10th petitioners, Na.Ka.26812/Aariyur (104/2)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019 pertaining to the 11th, 12th, 13th & 14th petitioners, Na.Ka.26812/Aariyur (105/1)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019 pertaining to the 15th petitioner, Na.Ka.26812/Aariyur (108/0)/2018/H.1 dated 31.01.2019 pertaining to the 16th petitioner, Na.Ka.26843/Peramandamapalayam (108/1)/2018/H.1 dated 02.02.2019 pertaining to the 17th petitioner, Na.Ka.26812/Aariyur (105/0)/2018/H.1 dated 09.02.2019 pertaining to the 18th petitioner of the 1st respondent and quash the same and consequently directing the 1st respondent to consider these petitioners' Objections after furnishing the petitioners with the Route Approval, Check Survey, Re-Check Survey List, Angle Deviation, GPS Co-ordinates, Distances between the Towers, No. of Towers, Nature of Towers, Route Map of the Project with respect to the District of Namakkal, Project Report with respect to the implementation of the Pugalur to Thiruvallam 400 KVA Power Transmission Project in the Lands of the petitioners and also giving these petitioners reasonable opportunity within a time frame fixed by this Court.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Wilson,
Senior Counsel for
Mr.M.Guruprasad

For Respondents : Mr.P.H.Aravind Pandian,
Additional Advocate General,
assisted by
Mr.R.Govindasamy,
Special Government Pleader for R1
Mr.R.Thiyagarajan
Senior Counsel for
Mr.Jayesh B.Dolia
and
Mr.Kalyanaraman
for M/s. Aiyar & Dolia for R2.

O R D E R

This writ petition is filed challenging the orders of the 1st respondent dated 09.02.2019, 02.02.2019 & 31.01.2019.

2. The main grievance of the petitioners in this writ petition is that the impugned orders were passed in violation of principles of natural justice since the notice of enquiry

issued on them dated 14.01.2019, calling upon them to appear for enquiry on 21.01.2019, was in fact served on them only on 22.01.2019. Therefore, it is contended that the petitioners are reasonable prevented from appearing before the first respondent on the date of enquiry. In support of their contentions, the petitioners relied upon the track consignment issued by the Postal Department.

3. Similar writ petition was filed by the other set of land owners in W.P.No.5444/2019 and this Court disposed of the said writ petition by remitting the matter back to the first respondent to issue fresh notice to the petitioners therein and thereafter to pass orders on merits and in accordance with law within the time stipulated therein.

4. Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the first respondent in this Writ Petition submitted that though notice of enquiry dated 14.01.2019 was posted on the same day, it was put on hold on four occasions till 21.01.2019 by the Postal Department and it was delivered only on 22.02.2019. Therefore, the learned Additional Advocate General contended that the petitioners are deliberately trying to drag on the proceedings by not receiving the notice in time.

5. I have already pointed out that on similar set of facts, this Court has passed an order in W.P.No.5444/2019 dated 26.02.2019 and remitted the matter back to the first respondent to issue fresh notice and conduct an enquiry and thereafter pass orders in accordance with law. In such view of the matter, the petitioners herein are also entitled to the same relief. Since the learned Additional Advocate General apprehends that the fresh notice to be sent by the first respondent may be delayed to be served on the petitioners deliberately this time also, this Court directs the first respondent to issue fresh notice to the counsel for the petitioners who filed Vakalat before the first respondent through speed post and also send sms to the counsel's mobile number indicating that notice was already sent on such date by speed post.

6. Under the above circumstances, the following order is passed:

The Writ petition is allowed and the impugned orders are set aside. The matter is remitted back to the first respondent to issue fresh notice to the petitioners' counsel who filed Vakalat before the first respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The first respondent shall also send sms to the petitioners' counsel to his mobile number indicating that the notice was sent through speed post as well. On receipt of such notice, the petitioners or their counsel shall participate in the enquiry before the first respondent. On completion of such enquiry, the first

respondent shall pass orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of four weeks thereafter. It is open to the petitioners to seek for such of those documents which are relevant for conducting such enquiry before the first respondent. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any view on the rival contentions made by the parties in respect of the merits of the matter, as it is for the 1st respondent to consider and decide. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

vsi

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Namakkal District,
Namakkal.
- 2.The Chief Manager,
Power Grid Corporation of India Limited,
Karur TLC Office,
Coimbatore Trichy Main Road,
K.Paramathy Village & Post,
Karur District.

+1cc to M/s.Aiyar and Dolia, Advocate, S.R.No.18374

+1cc to Mr.M.Guruprasad , Advocate SR.No. 19485 (04/03/2019)

W.P.No.5565 of 2019

RSV(CO)

rrs 28/02/2019

WEB COPY