

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.04.2019

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

Cont.P.No.466 of 2019

1.S.Ramachandran

2.R.Madheswaran,

Both are residing at
Kollankadu P.Kalpalayam,
Ponkurichi Village,
Rasipuram Taluk,
Namakkal District.

.... Petitioners

Vs.

1.K.Palanisamy

District Revenue Officer
Namakkal.

2.Kranthi Kumar

Sub-Collector
Namakkal.

3.Sahul Hameed

Tahsildar
Rasipuram Taluk
Rasipuram, Namakkal District.

4.R.Kathirvelu

Village Administrative Officer
Ponkurichi Village
Rasipuram Taluk
Namakkal District.

.... Respondents

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, praying to punish the respondents for the willful disobedience of the interim order dated 07.02.2019 passed in W.M.P.No.3948 of 2019 in W.P.No.3600 of 2019.

ORDER

This Contempt Petition is filed by complaining that an interim order of status quo granted by this Court on 07.02.2019 in W.M.P.No.3948 of 2019 in W.P.No.3600 of 2019 has been violated by mutation of the Revenue records.

2. However, after notice, when this contempt petition is taken up for further hearing, the Tahsildar, Rasipuram, has informed through his communication dated 02.04.2019 to the Government Pleader, that no order or any mutation in the Revenue records was made after 07.02.2019 and as on date, the status quo order has been maintained. The learned Government Advocate for the respondents has produced a copy of the said communication.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners, however, contended that the mutation has taken place after passing the order on 07.02.2019.

4. But, perusal of the counter affidavit served on the petitioners would show that the said mutation had taken place only on 31.01.2019 in respect of Patta No.219 and therefore, it is evident that no mutation had taken place

5. In any event, when this Court has passed the order of status quo to be maintained from 07.02.2019 onwards, the respondents shall comply with the said order without giving any room for violation. Needless to say that the rival contentions of the parties on merits have to be considered and decided while disposing the main writ petition.

6. In view of the above stated facts and circumstances, this contempt petition is closed.

mk

SD/--
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COMM. CASES)

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2019.

COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

Sd/22/05/2019

WEB COPY