

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06.11.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA

W.P.No.26375 of 2013

K.P.Kannappa Reddy

.. Petitioner

-vs-

1. The Inspector General of Registrar
State Registration Office
No.120, Santhome High Road
Chennai 600 028

2. The District Registrar
District Registrar Office
Kancheepuram District

3. The Sub Registrar
R.K.Pet Post, Pallipattu Taluk
Tiruvallur District

4. Thiru.Sathya Narayana Chetty
S/o Abbaiah Chetty

5. Thiru V.S.Nagamurali Prasad

6. Smt.Deivanai Ammal
W/o Thiru.Mani

.. Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the petitioner's representation dated 01.11.2012 in the light of letter dated 23.11.2012 and 31.05.2013 issued by the first respondent to the second respondent and consequently direct the respondents 1 to 3 not to release the rectification deed vide in document No.P72/2012 executed by the respondents 4 and 5 in favour of the sixth respondent.

For Petitioner :: Mr.A.Rajesh Kanna

For Respondents

:: Mr.P.P.Purushothaman
Government Advocate
for R1 to 3
No appearance for R4 to 6

ORDER

Mr.K.P.Kannappa Reddy, S/o Periasamy Reddy has filed this writ petition seeking issuance of a mandamus under Article 226 of the Constitution to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to consider his representation dated 01.11.2012 in the light of the letter dated 23.11.2012 and 31.05.2013 issued by the Inspector General of Registration, Chennai, the first respondent herein to the District Registrar, Kancheepuram District, the second respondent herein and consequently direct the respondents 1 to 3 not to release the rectification deed in document No.P72/2012 executed by the respondents 4 and 5 in favour of the sixth respondent.

2. When the matter was taken up, learned Government Advocate for the respondents 1 to 3 submitted that the prayer in the writ petition has become infructuous, since the document bearing No.P72/2012 executed by the respondents 4 & 5 in favour of the sixth respondent, was withdrawn by her subsequently. He has also placed on record a letter dated 4.11.2019 received from the Sub Registrar, R.K.Pettai, Tiruvallur District in this regard.

3. A perusal of the said letter shows that on 15.2.2016 itself, the pending document in question was withdrawn by the sixth respondent. Since the pending document has been withdrawn, nothing survives in the matter. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed. Consequently, M.P.No.1 of 2013 is also dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

ss

To

1. The Inspector General of Registrar,
State Registration Office
No.120, Santhome High Road
Chennai 600 028

2. The District Registrar
District Registrar Office
Kancheepuram District


3. The Sub Registrar
R.K.Pet Post, Pallipattu Taluk
Tiruvallur District.

+1cc to Mr.V.Pari Vallal, Advocate, SR.No.91841.

+1cc to Government Pleader, SR.No.93065.

W.P.No.26375 of 2013

VP(CO)
CSR: 29/01/2020



WEB COPY