

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.10.2019

CORAM :

The HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DURAISWAMY

C.R.P.No.1730 of 2013
and M.P.Nos.1 & 2 of 2013

M.Kandakumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

A.G.Sathiyanandham

... Respondent

Prayer:Petition filed under Section 25 of the Tamil Nadu Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act against the fair and decreetal order dated 22.02.2013 passed in R.C.A.No.699 of 2008 by the VII Judge, Small Causes Court, Chennai confirming the order dated 14.08.2008 passed in R.C.O.P.No.2079 of 2007 by the XIV Judge, Small Causes Court, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Kamaraj

For Respondent : R1 - died - steps taken
R2 - proposed respondent not
ready in notice

O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the relief sought for in the Civil Revision Petition has become infructuous for the reason that the petitioner had already vacated the premises. The learned counsel also made an endorsement to that effect.

2.In view of the same, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

va


To

1.The VII Judge, Small Causes Court, Chennai.

2.The XIV Judge, Small Causes Court, Chennai.

C.R.P.No.1730 of 2013
and M.P.Nos.1 & 2 of 2013

mr[co]
srg 05/11/2019



WEB COPY