

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION)

FRIDAY, THE 07TH DAY OF JUNE 2019

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA

A.No.146 of 2018

In the matter of Arbitration
and Conciliation Act, 1996

And

In the matter of Arbitration
of the dispute between
M/s.Sundaram Finance Limited
and Ms.Suchi Sharma Loan
Agreement No.K037700053, Dated
09/10/2015.

Sundaram Finance Limited
Rep. by its Deputy Manager (Legal)
Mr.Vyas Prakash
21, Patullos Road
Chennai - 600 002.

.... Applicant

-Versus-

Ms.Suchi Sharma
W/o.Mr.Pradeep Sharma
Vill. Suri P.O. Marhoon
Tehsil. Palampur Kangra
Marhoon
Kangra - 176 092.
Himachal Pradesh

... Respondent

Application praying that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to pass an order of appointment of Mr.Yaman Kaundal, Assistant Manager, Resident Representative Kangra of the applicant company as receiver to seize the vehicle more particularly described in the schedule to the Judges Summon in the premises of the respondent or wherever it is found and with whomsoever it is found with powers to break open the premises and grant permission to the receiver to obtain police aid from the concerned police Officer.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> Application coming on this day before this court for hearing the court made the following order:

By order, dated 09.01.2018, this Court appointed one Mr.Yaman Kaundal, Assistant Manager, Resident Representative Kangra of the applicant Company, as a Receiver to seize and possess the vehicle in question.

2. Pursuant to the warrant of commission issued by this Court to seize the vehicle, it is today represented by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant that the vehicle has been seized on 21.02.2018 and handed over to the applicant company by the Receiver. He would also confirm that proceedings for arbitration in connection with the transaction relating to the aforesaid asset have been concluded and an award has been passed favouring the applicant on 09.06.2017 in Arbitration Case No.SSM/SF/81 of 2017.

3. Though the name of the respondent is printed in the cause list, there is no representation for her either in person or through counsel.

4. In view of the above, nothing further survives in this application and the same stands closed. The parties are free to take such action, as they may desire hereafter, in accordance with law.

Sd/.P.S.N.J.
07.06.2019

//Certified to be a true copy//
Dated this the day of 2019.

SU/12.11.2019

COURT OFFICER

From 25.09.2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the Order/Judgment Decree in this format.