





4 Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner/accused gave cheque to one Sathyamoorthy not to the respondent/complainant, the said Sathyamoorthy misused the cheque and filed a complaint through this complainant/respondent. Therefore, it is found that the petitioner has admitted the signature in which the cheque was not given to the present respondent/complainant but which was given to one Sathyamoorthy. Therefore once he has admitted the signature and there is no need to send it for forensic department for comparison. The petitioner/accused has to prove that the cheque was not given to the complainant/respondent and it is given to one Sathyamoorthy. The said Sathyamoorthy set up the present complainant to file a complaint, this is a matter of the evidence and proof. There is no need to send the cheque to the forensic department to get an expert opinion. The learned Judicial Magistrate has rightly appreciated the evidence and found that the petitioner/accused admitted the signature and dismissed the petition.

5 Therefore, this Court find that there is no infirmity and perversity in the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate and there is no need to interfere with the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Erode. There is no merits in this Revision case. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed. Consequently, connected Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar(CS)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sbn

To

The learned Judicial Magistrate,  
Fast Track Court No-I,  
Erode.

+1cc to Mr.N.Manokaran , Advocate SR.No. 28331

Crl.R.C.No.199 of 2018  
and  
Crl.M.P.No.1802 of 2018

A.SK(19/12/2019)