

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.09.2019

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

W.P.No.9539 of 2010

Vijayalakshmi

...Petitioner

Versus

1. The District Collector,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
2. The Block Development Officer,
Chetput Panchayat Union,
Chetput, Thiruvannamalai District.

... Respondents

Prayer:

The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 19.4.2010.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondents : Mrs.K.Bhuvaneswari
Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

When the case was listed for hearing on 17.09.2019, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner either in the first call or second call and the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal' on 18.9.2019. On 18.9.2019, the matter was adjourned to 19.9.2019. On 19.9.2019, the matter was again posted under the caption 'for dismissal' on 20.9.2019. When the matter was taken up for hearing on 24.09.2019, there was no representation for the petitioner and the same was posted under the caption 'for dismissal' on 25.9.2019. On 25.9.2019, the matter was not called out. When the matter listed today i.e. on 26.9.2019, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner either in first call or second call.

2. In view of above, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS IV)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ms

To

1. The District Collector,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
2. The Block Development Officer,
Chetput Panchayat Union,
Chetput, Thiruvannamalai District.

+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.83217

W.P.No.9539 of 2010

PP(CO)
CS/04/12/2019



WEB COPY