

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:09.01.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.36222 of 2005

Yamuna Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

1.The District Collector,
Erode, Erode District.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Erode, Erode District.

3. K.subramani

4. Raju Naicker (Pattakara Naicker)

5. Pethaa Naicker (Pattakara Naicker)

6. Pethaa Naicker (Pattakara Naicker)

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 & 2 to take action against the respondents 3 to 6 from indulging in social boycott of the petitioner and her family and enjoying all the common amenities at Mullainaicanoor village, Perundurai Taluk, Erode District.

For Petitioner : Mr. S.Dhanasekaran

For Respondents: Mr.G.B.Rajesh
Government Advocate for R1 & 2
None appeared for R3 to R6

O R D E R

The learned counsel for the writ petitioner states that the cause arises for filing of the present writ petition became vanished. On account of the fact that the writ petitioner has shifted her residence to some other village.

2. Thus, the writ petition stands closed. No Costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Pkn

To


1.The District Collector,
Erode, Erode District.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Erode, Erode District.

+lcc to the Government Pleader sr.3237

W.P.No.36222 of 2005

kk[co]
srg 18/02/2019



WEB COPY