

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.09.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

Cont.P.No.1347 of 2013

1.E.Jayabal
2.A.Robert Ranganathan

...Petitioners

vs.

Mr.C.R.Sathyanarayanan,
The Management of INTECH
No.74, Industrial Estate,
Perungudi,
Chennai-76.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt Courts Act, to punish the respondent/management for their willful disobedience in not complying with the order of this Hon'ble High Court in Cont.P.No.475 of 2011 dated 07.03.2012.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Jai kumar
for
M/s.T.Fenn Walter Associates

O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners admitted the fact that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 05.09.2019, the contempt petitioners were

reinstated into service and joined duty. Thus, the respondents are bound to provide work and pay salary as applicable to the contempt petitioners. However, the claim of the contempt petitioners in relation to the arrear of payment, if any, to be adjudicated during the final hearing of the writ petition. All such disputes can be adjudicated during the final hearing of the writ petition. However, the workmen have already been reinstated and further, they must be provided with work and paid salary as applicable during the pendency of the writ petition. With these directions, the contempt petition stands closed.

SD/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COMM.CASES)

ssb

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2019.

COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

Smi/09/10/2019