

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26.06.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE R. HEMALATHA

CRP(NPD).Nos.3042 to 3044 of 2011
and
MP.Nos.1, 1 and 1 of 2011

CRP.No.3042 of 2011

S.Johnson

... Petitioner

vs.

1.Jayapriya Chits Funds P. Ltd.,
Neyveli - 2,
Cuddalore District.

2.A.Vijaya

3.L.John Mary

4.G.Jacob

5.M.Ramachandran

6.A.J.Martin Felix Celvia

... Respondents

CRP.No.3043 of 2011

S.Johnson

... Petitioner

vs.

1.Jayapriya Chits Funds P. Ltd.,
Rep. by its Foreman,
Neyveli - 2,
Cuddalore District.

2.B.Sagayaraj

3.J.Ambikapathy

4.S.Chandrasekaran

5.S.Varatharajan

6.A.J.Martin Felix Celvia

...Respondents

CRP.No.3044 of 2011

A.S.Dhanapal Raj

... Petitioner

vs.

1.Jayapriya Chits Funds P. Ltd.,
Neyveli - 2,
Cuddalore District.

2.B.Sagayaraj

3.S.Chandrasekaran

4.G.Jacob

5.M.A.Swamikannu

6.S.Johnson

7.A.J.Martin Felix Celvia

...Respondents

Prayer in CRP.No.3042 of 2011: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the docket order dated 10.03.2011 in EP.No.57 of 2010 in AR.No.993 of 2007 on the file of the Sub Court, Neyveli.

Prayer in CRP.No.3043 of 2011: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the docket order dated 16.06.2011 in EP.No.59 of 2010 in AR.No.996 of 2007 on the file of the Sub Court, Neyveli.

Prayer in CRP.No.3044 of 2011: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the docket order dated 10.06.2011 in EP.No.132 of 2010 in AR.No.733 of 2008 on the file of the Sub Court, Neyveli.

For Petitioners : No appearance
(in all CRPs)

For Respondents : Mr.P.Jagadeeswaran for R2
(in all CRPs.)

No appearance
(for all other respondents)

COMMON ORDER

When these Civil Revision Petitions came up for hearing on 25.06.2019, there was no representation for the revision petitioners and hence was directed to be posted under the caption 'for dismissal' on 26.06.2019. Even today, i.e., 26.06.2019 there is no representation for the revision petitioners. The learned counsel for the second respondent is present.

R.HEMALATHA, J.

mbi

2. In view of the same, these Civil Revision Petitions are dismissed for default. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are dismissed.


26.06.2019

mbi

Index : Yes/No

To

The Subordinate Court,
Neyveli.



WEB COPY

CRP(NPD).Nos.3042
to 3044 of 2011
and
MP.Nos.1, 1 and 1 of 2011



WEB COPY