

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.06.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE R. HEMALATHA

CRP(NPD).Nos.2784 and 2785 of 2011
and
MP.Nos.1 and 1 of 2011

Abdul Rasheed

...Petitioner in both CRPs.

vs.

1.Z.Mohammed Ali Zinna

2.State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Collector Cuddalore (District),
Collectorate,
Cuddalore - 1.

3.The Tahsildar,
Cuddalore Taluk Office,
Beach road, Cuddalore - 1.

4.The District Surveyor,
Cuddalore Taluk Office,
Cuddalore - 1.

5.The Tamil Nadu Wakf Board,
By its Chief Executive Officer,
No.1, Jaffer Syrung Street,
Vallal Seethakathi Nagar,
Chennai - 1.

6.Nandakumar

7.R.Perumal

8.P.Kalidasan

9.S.Umashankar

(R7 to R9 impleaded as party respondents
vide order of the court dated 09.02.2012
in MP.No.1 of 2012 in CRP.No.2784 of 2011)

10.M.Ansari

(R10 impleaded as party respondent
vide order of court dated 19.10.2012
in MP.No.2 of 2012 in CRP.No.2784 of 2011)

...Respondents in CRP.No.2784 of 2011

1.K.Nandakumar

2.Noor ul Ve Haq

3.Rasheed

4.Vijayalakshmi

5.R.Perumal

6.P.Kalidasan


7.S.Umashankar

(R5 to R7 impleaded as party respondents
vide order of court dated 09.02.2012
in MP.No.1 of 2012 in CRP.No.2785 of 2011)

8.M.Ansari

(R8 impleaded as party respondent
vide order of court dated 19.10.2012
in MP.No.2 of 2012 in CRP.No.2785 of 2011)

...Respondents in CRP.No.2785 of 2011



WEB COPY

COMMON PRAYER: Civil Revision Petitions filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India r/w Section 83(9) of Wakf Act, 1995 against the decree and Judgment dated 25.03.2011 in OS.Nos.22 of 2009 and 16 of 2010 on the file of the Principal Subordinate Court, Cuddalore.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.V.Karthikeyan
(in both CRPs)

For Respondents : Mr.Mohammed Fayazali
(for R5 in CRP.No.2784 of 2011)

Mrs.A.Ajimath Begam
(for R8 in CRP.No.2785 of 2011)

No appearance
(for other respondents in both CRPs)

COMMON ORDER

The learned counsel appearing for the civil revision petitioner in both the Civil Revision Petitions seeks permission of this Court to withdraw both the Petitions on the ground that Wakf Board has taken over the subject matters in issue. He has also made an endorsement to that effect.

R.HEMALATHA, J.

mbi

2. In view of the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the civil revision petitioner, these Civil Revision Petitions are dismissed as withdrawn. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are also dismissed.

27.06.2019

mbi

Index : Yes/No

To

The Principal Subordinate Court,
Cuddalore.

WEB COPY

CRP(NPD).Nos.2784

and 2785 of 2011

and

MP.Nos.1 and 1 of 2011



WEB COPY