BAIL SLIP

That the Petitioner/Accused namely Muthamil Illakkiyanathan @ Mariappan was directed to be released on bail vide order of this Court dated 11.10.2012 in Crl.M.P.No.2 of 2012 in Crl.R.C.No.1192 of 2012.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.08.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

Crl.R.C.No.1192 of 2012 and
Crl.M.P.No.11396 of 2019

Muthamil Ilakkiyanathan @ Mariappan ...Petitioner

.Vs.

State by:
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Panruti, Cuddalore District. ...Respondent

This Criminal Revision case is filed under Sections 397 and 401 of Code of Criminal Procedure to set aside the judgment made in Criminal Appeal No.51 of 2009 dated 13.05.2011 by the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge (FTC-II), Cuddalore, partly confirming the judgment made in C.C.No.496 of 2009 dated 14.09.2009 by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Panruti and acquit the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Arasuganesan

For Respondent : Mr.T.Shanmugarajeswaran,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This criminal revision is preferred against the judgment made in Criminal Appeal No.51 of 2009 dated 13.05.2011 by the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge (FTC-II), Cuddalore, partly confirming the judgment made in C.C.No.496 of 2009 dated 14.09.2009 by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Panruti.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

2 When the matter is called for hearing the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner informed that a settlement has been reached between the petitioner and the defacto complainant by paying Rs.2,00,000/- as directed by the trial Court and fixed deposit for a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- as compensation in the name of the defacto complainant. Demand Draft for Rs.2,00,000/- drawn in favour of the defacto complainant and receipt of Fixed Deposit for a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- have been produced before this Court and handed over to the victim/defacto complainant, who is present before this Court and acknowledged the same. Crl.M.P.No.11396 of 2019 has been filed by the petitioner/accused informing that the matter has been compromised between him and the defacto complainant and this Court may set aside the conviction rendered by the trial Court in C.C.No.496 of 2009 dated 14.09.2009 and partly confirmed by the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge (FTC-II), Cuddalore, in Criminal Appeal No.51 of 2009 dated 13.05.2011.

3 Taking into consideration the affidavit filed by the defacto complainant/C.Maha and the petition to compound the offence moved by petitioner/accused, this Court records compounding of offence under Section 417 of IPC. This Criminal Revision Case shall stand allowed and the offence under Section 417 of IPC tried in C.C.No.496 of 2009, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Panruti, and confirmed in C.A.No.51 of 2009 by the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge (FTC-II), Cuddalore, shall stand compounded. According to Section 320(8) Cr.P.C., the petitioner/accused shall stand acquitted of all charges against him in this case. Consequently connected miscellaneous petition is closed. Further, since the defacto complainant/victim has expressed her fear about the Panchayatars, the respondent police is directed to extend adequate protection to her.

cgi

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

WEB COPY

To

1.The Additional District and
Sessions Judge (FTC-II), Cuddalore.

2.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Panruti.

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

4.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Panruti, Cuddalore District.

5.The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Cuddalore.

+2cc to Mr.A.Arasu Ganesan, Advocate, SR.No.69167

Crl.R.C.No.1192 of 2012 and
Crl.M.P.No.11396 of 2019

Kak(09/10/2019)

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/