

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.08.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.25294 of 2010

And

M.P.No.1 of 2010

M/s.Sai Mirra Innopharm Private Limited,
Represented by its Managing Director
V.S.Raaman

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Rep by its Chairman,
Anna Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.
- 2.The Superintending Engineer,
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle (CEDC) West,
Anna Nagar,
Chennai - 600 040.
- 3.The Assistant Executive Engineer,
(Operation and Maintenance)
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle,
Ambattur,
Industrial Estate,
Chennai - 600 058.
- 4.The Deputy Financial Controller (Incharge),
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle West,
Anna Nagar,
Chennai - 600 040.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records pertaining to the impugned Demand Notice dated 12/.20.10.2010 issued by the 2nd respondent vide Lr.No:SE/CEDC/W/DFC/AS/H.T.Sc.No.1602/ D.No.1488/2010 and the consequential inclusion of the demand for Rs.58,996/- in the bill for the month of October, 2010 raised against the

petitioner by the 4th respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.V.Shanmuganathan

For Respondents : Mr.Aravind Pandian, AAG
Assisted by
Mr.S.K.Rameshwar

O R D E R

The issue raised in the present writ petition has already been settled before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (APTEL). The writ petition challenges the excess charges levied for exceeding quota by the 2nd respondent. In this regard, the Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission has passed an order on 04.05.2010, relevant portion of which reads as follows:

"Para 11.7 para 3.9 of the order of the commission in RPNO.2 of 2008 explicitly states as follows,

It must be borne in mind that excess demand charges and excess energy charges are liable in addition to the penalty of drastic reduction of electricity supply to 5% (or 10%) during the following 48 hrs as the case may be for violation of restriction and control measures."

2.Against which, the aggrieved similarly placed persons filed appeal before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (APTEL) and obtained final order on 11.01.2011.

3.In this regard, the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court by filing Civil Appeal Nos.1090 - 1099 of 2011 and the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 04.02.2011 entertained the Civil Appeals against the judgment of the Appellate Tribunal. On a perusal of the interim order dated 04.02.2011, there is a direction to the appellant therein/Tamil Nadu Electricity Board to furnish Bank Guarantee of a Nationalized Bank in favour of the Registrar (Judicial) of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

4. Since the issue involved in the present writ petition is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court at the instigation of the respondent herein/ Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to maintain status-quo as on date. Subject to the outcome of the Supreme Court order, the parties may seek remedy in the manner known to law.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

pri

To

1. The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Rep by its Chairman,
Anna Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.
2. The Superintending Engineer,
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle (CEDC) West,
Anna Nagar,
Chennai - 600 040.
3. The Assistant Executive Engineer,
(Operation and Maintenance)
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle,
Ambattur,
Industrial Estate,
Chennai - 600 058.
4. The Deputy Financial Controller (Incharge),
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle West,
Anna Nagar,
Chennai - 600 040.

W.P.No.25294 of 2010
And

M.P.No.1 of 2010

PP(CO)
CB(14/10/2019)

WEB COPY