

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.11.2019

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. DURAISWAMY

W.P. No.20951 of 2005

P.Ramalingam

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.District Revenue Officer,
Perambalur & District.

2.Revenue Divisional Officer,
Ariyalur, Perambalur District. ... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the order passed by the 1st respondent in Na.Ka.No.1/14760/2004 dated 27.11.2004 modifying the order of the 2nd respondent in Na.Ka.Aa 2/815/97 dated 04.02.2002 and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kamadevan

For Respondents : Mr.S.N.Parthasarathi
Government Advocate

O R D E R

Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the relief sought for in the writ petition has become infructuous for the reason that the punishment imposed by the 1st respondent had already been complied and that apart, the petitioner has also attained superannuation.

2.Under these circumstances, in view of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To


1.The District Revenue Officer,
Perambalur & District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Ariyalur, Perambalur District.

+1 cc to The Government Pleader Sr.No. 93740

AKM/10.12.19/2P-4C /

W.P. No.20951 of 2005



WEB COPY