

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 12.07.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

W.P.No.9887 of 2008
and MP.Nos.1 & 2 of 2008

A.Subburam

...Petitioner

Vs

1. The Presiding Officer,
District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum,
Nagapattinam.

2. V.Ramalingam

3. The Inspector of Police,
North Police Station,
Dindigul 1.

...Respondents

Prayer:- The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records in C.O.P.No.69/2000 on the file of the first respondent and quash the warrant dated 24.01.2008 issued in E.P.No.6 of 2003 in C.O.P.No.69/2000 on the file of District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Nagai, the first respondent herein.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Bhiman

For Respondents : R1 - Court

R2 - No Appearance

R3 - Ms.M.Lalitha, G.A.

ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the prayer sought for in this writ petition has become infructuous and he has also made an endorsement to that effect.

2. In the light of the above submission and the endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//


Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Presiding Officer,
District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum,
Nagapattinam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
North Police Station,
Dindigul 1.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

W.P.No.9887 of 2008

bs[co]
srg 21/08/2019



WEB COPY