

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.10.2019

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. DURAISWAMY

W.P. No.10271 of 2005

and

W.P.M.P. No.11170 of 2005

1.T.V.Prabhakar
2.A.Mani
3.S.Ravichandran
4.K.Jaganathan
5.R.Karupaiah
6.V.Manimaran
7.V.V.Sethuraman

.... Petitioners

Vs.

1.Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and
Sewerage Board, rep. by its
Board of Directors,
No.1, Pumping Station Road,
Chindatripet,
Chennai - 600 002.

2.The Managing Director,
Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and
Sewerage Board,
No.1, Pumping Station Road,
Chindatripet,
Chennai - 600 002.

3.P.Krishnamurthy,
Executive Engineer,
Office of Construction Water Supply,
Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and
Sewerage Board,
No.1, Pumping Station Road,
Chindatripet,
Chennai - 600 002.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the concerned records from the 1st respondent, quash the Agenda No.28, Resolution No.49 of 2005 passed in the 257th meeting of Board of Directors of CMWSSB held

on 10.03.2005 in so far approving the panel for the post of Superintending Engineer for the year 2004-2005 (Civil/Mechanical and Electrical) by including the name of 3rd respondent viz, P.Krishnamurthy and consequently direct the respondent No.1 and 2 to take decision on the report submitted by the ''Committee on Segregation of Post for Civil/Mechanical and Electircal Engineers''.

For Petitioners : Mr.Balan Haridas

For R1 & R2 : Mr.T.Gowthaman

R3 : No appearance

O R D E R

Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 submitted that the petitioners have attained superannuation and therefore, the writ petition has become infructuous.

2.Mr.Balan Haridas, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that, though, he has not received any instructions from the petitioners so far, the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 may be recorded and the writ petition may be dismissed as infructuous.

3.In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. However, it is open to the petitioners to work out their remedy in the event of any necessity, in accordance with law.

s/d-

Assistant Registrar (CS VIII)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To


1.The Board of Directors,
Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and
Sewerage Board,
No.1, Pumping Station Road,
Chindatripet,
Chennai - 600 002.

2.The Managing Director,
Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and
Sewerage Board,
No.1, Pumping Station Road,
Chindatripet,
Chennai - 600 002.

+1 CC to Mr.T.Gowthaman, Advocate sr 89404.

W.P. No.10271 of 2005

MR (CO)
SP (25/11/2019)



WEB COPY