IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 08.08.2019
CORAM
THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI
W.P. 12956 of 2010
and
M.P. 2 of 2010

1. Mrs.B.Maragathamani
2. P.S.Ganesan
3. Saradha Venkatraman
4. Sures Jude Dean Vaidyanathan
5. Kamakshi Sundaram
6. Ramaniyam real Estates Pvt. Ltd.
7. M.Vijayalakshmi
8. R.Sharmila
9. K.Subramaniam
10. K.Soundhar Rajan
11. K.Soundhar Rajan
12. M/s.Baywater Farms (P) Ltd.,
13. Mrs.Lakshmi Sivaramakrishnan
14. Dr.Sheela Devi
15. R.Kalyana Sundaram
16. K.Sundar Raman
17. Rajendar Ranganath
18. P.N.Jayanth
19. Subbiah Lakshmanan
20. G.Premnath
21. Jairam K.Gaglani
22. S.Rajamani
23. S.Usha Shankar
24. S.Kamala
25. S.Sundaram

Petitioners 6 to 25 are represented by Petitioners 1 to 5 as their Power of Attorney Agents having residence at No.11, Sruthi Apartments, Second Main Road, Gandhi Nagar, Adyar, Chennai-600 020. ... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
   rep. by Secretary,
   Housing and Urban Development Dept.,
   Fort St. George,
   Chennai-600 009.

WEB COPY
https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

2. The Member Secretary,
Chennai Metropolitan Development
Authority,
No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008. ... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the 2nd respondent vide his impugned letter No.REG/C6/52573/2000 dated 26.05.2010 and quash the same and directing the respondents to issue orders of regularisation as per their Letter No.REG/C6/52573/2000 dated 12.08.2002 and release the regularisation approval plan to the petitioner building situated in Door No.18/4, New Mahabalipuram Main Road, Thiruvanmiyur, Chennai-41.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sundaresan
For Respondents : Mr.J.Ramesh,
Addl. Govt. Pleader for R1

Mr.P.Tamilmani for R2

O R D E R

Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that since the building was regularised by the respondents, nothing survives for further adjudication in this Writ Petition. Hence, he prayed that this Writ Petition may be dismissed as infructuous.

2. Recording the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the present Writ Petition stands dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

WEB COPY
https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

rpp

To

1. The Secretary,
   State of Tamil Nadu,
   Housing and Urban Development Dept.,
   Fort St. George,
   Chennai-600 009.

2. The Member Secretary,
   Chennai Metropolitan Development
      Authority,
   No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road,
   Egmore, Chennai-600 008.

+1cc to Mr.P.Tamilmani, Advocate sr.68841

W.P. 12956 of 2010
and
M.P. 2 of 2010

rgn(co)
nr 25/10/2019

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/