

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 16-10-2019

Coram

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.22748 of 2004

And


W.M.P.No.27509 of 2004

The Commissioner,
Salem Municipal Corporation,
Salem.

..Petitioner


vs

- 1.P.Balasubramanian
- 2.M.Kaveri
- 3.Manickam
- 4.Shanthi
- 5.V.Murugan
- 6.Deivanai
- 7.Sumathi
- 8.M.Jaishankar
- 9.Chellappan
- 10.Padma
- 11.Dhanam
- 12.Kamaraj
- 13.Chandran
- 14.Natesan
- 15.Palaniammal
- 16.Perumayee
- 17.P.Uma
- 18.P.Krishnan
- 19.Pothammal
- 20.K.Mariappan
- 21.Loganathan
- 22.V.Saravanan
- 23.Sarasu
- 24.P.Madurai
- 25.Arjunan
- 26.P.Selvam
- 27.R.Jeyaraj
- 28.K.Karthigeyan
- 29.M.Muthusamy
- 30.Rajathi
- 31.Govindarajan
- 32.Senthamarai
- 33.Muthumani



WEB COPY

34.Kanniammal
35.Amutha
36.R.Latha
37.Palanisamy
38.R.Sellammal
39.P.Ramasamy
40.P.Sathiamurthy
41.Mani
42.P.Karuppannan
43.Madeswari
44.Selvaraj
45.R.Easwaran
46.Parimala
47.Vengaiyan
48.Naachayee
49.Murugan
50.K.Govindaraj
51.P.Selvam
52.R.Murugesan
53.Poongodi
54.Sankaran
55.Thilagam
56.Selvaraj
57.M.Palaniappan
58.M.Murthy
59.Kanniammal
60.Mala
61.K.Ravi
62.Amaravathy
63.Rajeswari
64.Kannammal
65.Packiam
66.Rani
67.Mariammal
68.Pappathy
69.Valli
70.Vijaya
71.Tharamathy
72.Vijaya
73.Amirtham
74.Thangammal
75.Sellammal
76.Shanthi
77.Madammal
78.Chandra
79.Rajammal
80.Sasikala
81.Srinivasan
82.Rajendran
83.Rani



WEB COPY

84.Nagaraj
85.Palaniammal
86.Rajammal
87.Mahesh
88.Palaniammal
89.Padmavathy
90.Venkatesan
91.Selvaraj
92.Lakshmanan
93.Saroja
94.Parvathy
95.Sengodan
96.Shanthi
97.The Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Salem.

.. Respondents

(Respondents 1 to 96 are rep by their
Power Agent S. Mani Labour
Representative Thirukumaran Building
Four Roads Salem-9)

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of
Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the order of the
Labour Court, Salem, dated 25.11.2003 in I.D. Nos.116 of 2001 to
159 of 2001, I.D.Nos.314 of 2001 to 333 of 2001 and I.D. Nos.208
of 2002 to 254 of 2002 and quash the same in so far as it
directs the respondents 1 to 96 to make reference to the Labour
Court as per the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947
is concerned.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Sankaran

For Respondents-1to96 : Mr.S.Ayyadurai

For Respondent-97 : Labour Court

O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the writ petitioner made a submission that respondents-
workmen are allowed to continue in service, their services were
also brought under the regular establishment and their services
are regularised in the Regular Time Scale of Pay.

2. This being the factum, the grievances
of the respondents had already been redressed. Thus, no further
adjudication needs to be entertained in respect of the grounds
raised in the present writ petition.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar(CS-IV)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

Svn

To


1.The Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Salem.

2.The Commissioner,
Salem Municipal Corporation,
Salem.

+1 CC to Mr.G.Sankaran, Advocate sr 87067.

W.P.No.22748 of 2004

RGN(CO)
SP(27/11/2019)



WEB COPY