

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.08.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.11296 of 2010
And
M.P.Nos.1 and 2 of 2010

Hasbro Clothing Private Limited,
Rep.by its Manager (HR)

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. Tamilnadu Electricity Regulatory Commission,
Rep. by its Secretary,
19A, Rukmini Lakshmipathy Salai,
(Marshall's Road)
Egmore, Chennai - 600 008.
2. The Chairman
Tamilnadu Electricity Board,
144, Anna Salai, Chennai 600 002.
3. The Superintending Engineer,
Tamilnadu Electricity Board,
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle, (West),
Thirumangalam SS, Chennai 600 040.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records of the third respondent in his notice Lr.No.SE/CEDC/W/DFC/AS/H.T/HTSC.No.1757/D.No.801/ 2010 dated 21.05.2010 and quash the same in so far as it relates to levy of penalty for exceeding quota during evening peak hour as against the order of the first respondent in paragraph 12 and 14 in M.P.No.4 and 7 of 2010 dated 04.05.2010.

For Petitioner : Mr.Manoj Sreevalsan

For Respondents : Mr.Aravind Pandian, AAG
Assisted by
Mr.P.R.Dilipkumar

O R D E R

The issue raised in the present writ petition has already been settled before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (APTEL). The writ petition challenges the excess charges levied for exceeding quota by the third respondent. In this regard, the Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission has passed an order on 04.05.2010, relevant portion of which reads as follows:

"Para 11.7 para 3.9 of the order of the commission in RPNO.2 of 2008 explicitly states as follows,

It must be borne in mind that excess demand charges and excess energy charges are liable in addition to the penalty of drastic reduction of electricity supply to 5% (or 10%) during the following 48 hrs as the case may be for violation of restriction and control measures."

2. Against which, the aggrieved similarly placed persons filed appeal before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (APTEL) and obtained final order on 11.01.2011.

3. In this regard, the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court by filing Civil Appeal Nos.1090 - 1099 of 2011 and the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 04.02.2011 entertained the Civil Appeals against the judgment of the Appellate Tribunal. On a perusal of the interim order dated 04.02.2011, there is a direction to the appellant therein/Tamil Nadu Electricity Board to furnish Bank Guarantee of a Nationalized Bank in favour of the Registrar (Judicial) of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

4. Since the issue involved in the present writ petition is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court at the instigation of the respondent herein/ Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to maintain status-quo as on date. Subject to the outcome of the Supreme Court order, the parties may seek remedy in the manner known to law.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS IV)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

pri

To

1. The Secretary,
Tamilnadu Electricity Regulatory Commission,
19A, Rukmini Lakshmipathy Salai,
(Marshall's Road)
Egmore, Chennai - 600 008.
2. The Chairman
Tamilnadu Electricity Board,
144, Anna Salai, Chennai 600 002.
3. The Superintending Engineer,
Tamilnadu Electricity Board,
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle, (West),
Thirumangalam SS, Chennai 600 040.

+1cc to Mr.Manoj Sreevalsan, Advocate, S.R.No.62999

W.P.No.11296 of 2010
And
M.P.Nos.1 and 2 of 2010

RSI (CO)
CS/30/10/2019

WEB COPY