

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Ninth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice V. PARTHIBAN

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No.1351 of 2019

IN CRL RC.153/2019

C.NALLATHAMBI

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

B.SUKUMAR

[RESPONDENT]

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the Memorandum of Grounds in CRL RC.153/2019 on the file of the High Court, the High Court will be pleased to suspend the sentence imposed by the Learned III Metropolitan Magistrate George Town, Chennai in C.C.No.1136 of 2014 dated 07.03.2018 as confirmed by the Learned XVIII Additional Session Judge, Chennai dated 11.12.2018 and made in C.A.No.163 of 2018 till the disposal of the above CRL RC.153/2019 [IN CRL.MP.NO.1351 OF 2019]

Order : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the Memorandum of Grounds in CRL RC.153/2019 on the file of the High Court and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.V.BHIMAN, Advocate for the petitioner, the court made the following order:-

The petitioner/appellant is convicted for offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and sentenced to undergo six months simple imprisonment and he was also directed to pay a sum of Rs.1,51,000/- as compensation to the complainant, in default, sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for two months, by the learned III Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai, under judgment, dated 07.03.2018, in C.C.No.1136 of 2014. The conviction and sentence imposed by the trial Court were confirmed by the learned XVIII Additional Sessions Judge, Chennai, under judgment in C.A.No.163 of 2018, dated 11.12.2018. Hence, the petitioner seeks suspension of sentence.

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner/appellant would submit that there are several infirmities and inconsistencies found in the prosecution case. It is contended that there are contradictions in the material particulars between the evidence of the prosecution witnesses.

3. In view of the fact that there are several infirmities in the prosecution case and there are arguable points involved in the revision, as contended by learned counsel for petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner herein is entitled to the relief of grant of suspension of sentence.

4. Accordingly, the substantive sentence of imprisonment alone is suspended and the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner/appellant shall deposit 50% of the cheque amount to the credit of C.C.No.1136 of 2014, on the file of the learned III Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order;

(ii) The petitioner/appellant/accused shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of learned III Metropolitan Magistrate, GT, Madras.

(iii) The petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on the first working day of every month at 10.30 a.m. pending disposal of the revision.

(iv) In case the conditions are not complied with, the interim order of suspension of sentence shall stand vacated automatically without further reference to this Court.

-sd/-
29/01/2019

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
NO.III, GEORGE TOWN, CHENNAI.

2 THE CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
EGMORE, CHENNAI [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE XVIII ADDITIONAL SESSION
JUDGE, CHENNAI.

+1C.C. to M/S.V.BHIMAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR NO.1950

Order


in
CRL MP.1351/2019

in
CRL RC.153/2019

Date :29/01/2019

From 7.2.2001 the Registry is issuing certified
copies of the BAIL/Anti.BAIL Orders in this format

MK:30/01/2019



WEB COPY