

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.06.2019

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mrs.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

The Hon'ble Mr.JUSTICE M.DURAI SWAMY

W.P. No.23050 of 2006
and C.R.P. (NPD) No.815 of 2006
and M.P.No.2 of 2006 and C.M.P.No.6722 of 2006

W.P.No.23050 of 2006

Padma Balachandar

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The Recovery Officer-II,
Debts Recovery Tribunal I,
Chennai.

2.State Bank of Hyderabad,
Indira Nagar Branch,
Chennai 600 020.

3.K.Harichand

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issue of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records in DRC 254 of 2002 in O.A.No.159 of 1998 on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the sale dated 8.2.2006 in pursuance of the auction dated 20.10.2005 of the property bearing Door No.1, Plot No.7, Madanagopal Street, New Colony, 3rd Street Abhiramapuram, Chennai 600 018, admeasuring 1 ground and 624 sq.ft. of land with a built up area of about 1650 sq. ft. within the sub-registration district of Mylapore and registration district of South Chennai in favour of the 3rd respondent and direct the respondents to accept the sale price with necessary additions from the petitioner.

C.R.P. (NPD) No.815 of 2006

Padma Balachandar

.. Petitioner/Third Party

-vs-

1.State Bank of Hyderabad,
Indira Nagar Branch, Chennai 600 020.

2.M/s.M.G.M.Constructions,
Rep. by its Managing Director.

3.A.Muthuvelu
4.M.Gnanavalli
5.Jaya Subramaniam
6.R.Ramamani
7.V.Thyagarajan
8.P.Jayaraman
9.A.P.S.Parvathy
10.Kavitha Ravichandran
11.Deepa Udayakumar
12.Priya Dharshini
13.A.S.Balachandar

(Respts. 2 to 13 given up in the
revision)

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order passed in I.A.No.1 of 2005 by the Recovery Officer, Debt Recovery Tribunal, Chennai.

For Petitioner in
WP and CRP : No appearance

For Respondents in
WP and CRP : No appearance

O R D E R

(Order of the Court was made by The Hon'ble Chief Justice)

There is no representation for the petitioner in both the writ petition as well as the Civil Revision Petition. So also, on the earlier two dates, i.e., on 20.06.2019 and 19.06.2019, there was no representation for the petitioner.

2.In the order dated 20.06.2019, it is reflected that there was no representation for the petitioner on that date, so also on the earlier date, i.e., 19.06.2019, hence, the matters be posted for dismissal on 21.06.2019, i.e., today.

3.As stated earlier, today also, there is no appearance for the petitioner. Hence, the writ petition as well as the Civil Revision Petition are dismissed for non-prosecution. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also dismissed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//


Sub Assistant Registrar

(sra)
To

The Recovery Officer-II,
Debts Recovery Tribunal I, Chennai.

W.P.No.23050 of 2006 and
C.R.P. (NPD) No.815 of 2006

rsi[co]
srg 16/07/2019



WEB COPY