

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Seventh day of February Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice N. ANAND VENKATESH

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.2356 of 2019

J.PREMKUMAR

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY

[RESPONDENT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
H4, KORUKKUPET POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI. CR.NO.371 OF 2017.

For Petitioner : M/S.G.PRASANNA Advocate

For Respondent : MRS.PRABHAVATHI, ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294 (b), 323, 384 and 506 (ii) of IPC in Crime No.371 of 2017 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with another accused person had threatened the defacto complainant and had robbed money.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the A1 who was detained in the Goondas act has already been released and he has come out on bail. There is no specific overt act attributed against this petitioner and therefore, this Court is inclined to grant Anticipatory Bail.

4. Taking into consideration, the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to this petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned XV Metropolitan Magistrate Court, George Town, Chennai on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees five thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the

petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-
07/02/2019

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

WEB COPY

TO

1 THE METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
NO.XV, GEORGE TOWN, CHENNAI.

2 THE CHIEF METROPOLITAN
MAGISTRATE, EGMORE, CHENNAI.
[FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
H4, KORUKKUPET POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.

+1 CC to M/S.G.PRASANNA Advocate on payment of necessary charges-
Sr.2751

CRL OP.2356/2019

Date :07/02/2019

ths : 11.02.2019



WEB COPY