

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13.06.2019

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mrs.VIJAYA K.TAHILRAMANI, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

The Hon'ble Mr.JUSTICE M.DURAI SWAMY

W.P. No.35387 of 2002

M.Hemanthkumar

.. Petitioner

-vs-

1.Indus Ind Bank,
rep. by The Vice President and Branch
Head, No.3, Village Road,
Nungambakkam, Chennai 600 034.

2.V.Subramanian

3.Reserve Bank of India,
Rajaji Salai, Chennai-1.

4.The Commissioner of Police,
Egmore, Chennai.
(RR 3 and 4 impleaded as per order
dt.27.9.2002 in WPMP.54897/2002
and struck off vide order
dated 03.02.2006)

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issue of Writ of Certiorari to call for the records of the first respondent relating to the registered notice dated 08.07.2002 issued to the petitioner under Chapter III Section 13 of the Securitisation and Reconstructions of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Ordinance 2002 and quash the same.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondents : Mr.P.Elayaraj Kumar
for M/s.Ramalingam Asso. For R-1
: R-2 vacated
: RR3 and 4 struck off, vide order
dt. 03.02.2006.

O R D E R

There is no representation for the petitioner.

2. When the matter was listed on 10.06.2019, the learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr.Gowtham S.Raman, submitted that in spite of writing a letter to the petitioner, he has not received any instructions and therefore, he reported no instructions. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the Registry was directed to print the name of the petitioner in the cause list on the next date of hearing, i.e., on 11.06.2019. Accordingly, on 11.06.2019, the matter was listed with the name of the petitioner being printed in the cause list, however, there was no representation for the petitioner and hence, the matter was directed to be posted under the caption 'for dismissal' on 13.06.2019, i.e., today.

3. As stated earlier, today also, there is no representation for the petitioner.

In view of the above, we have no other alternative except to dismiss the writ petition for non-prosecution. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

sra

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

+2cc to M/s.Ramalingam Associates, Advocate, SR.No.48208

W.P.No.35387 of 2002

Kak(17/07/2019)

WEB COPY