

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.10.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

S.A. No.1065 of 2008

1. R. Moorthy
2. M.C. Chandra

... Appellants

Vs

1. Sandhya S. Shah
2. Shah Associates
rep. by its Proprietor
Dipesh C. Shah
3. Varmar Co.,
Auctioneers
rep. by
Prop. R.V. Varma

... Respondents

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure as against the Judgment and decree of the learned Additional District Judge, Fast Track Court V, Chennai, dated 31.12.2004 in A.S. No.72 of 2003 confirming the Judgment and Decree of the learned V Assistant Judge City Civil Court, Chennai in O.S. No.1938 of 1999, dated 05.09.2001.

For Appellants : No appearance
For Respondents : Ms.Subbulakshmi

JUDGMENT

The matter is listed under the caption "for dismissal", today. Even on the last occasion i.e. on 04.10.2019, there was no representation on the side of the appellants. However, the learned counsel for the respondents is present. Therefore, it can now be inferred that the appellants are not interested to prosecute the Second Appeal. Accordingly, the Second Appeal is dismissed for non prosecution. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Additional District Judge,
Fast Track Court V,
Chennai.
2. The V Assistant Judge,
City Civil Court,
Chennai.

+1 cc to M/s.S.Subbulakshmi, Advocate Sr.No. 88136

AKM/22.11.19/2P-4C /

S.A. No.1065 of 2008



WEB COPY