

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.06.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN

S.A.No.801 of 1998

1.Mallika
2.Murugesan

... Appellants

...Versus...

Arumugam

... Respondent

PRAYER:This Second Appeal has been filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the judgment and decree in A.S.No.56 of 1994 dated 27.08.1996 on the file of the Principal District Judge,Chengalpattu reversing the judgment and decree made in O.S.No.879 of 1988 dated 17.11.1993 on the file of the District Munsif, Chengalpattu.

For Appellants :: Ms.R.Abirami
for Mr.V.Raghavachari

J U D G M E N T

The learned counsel for the appellants has filed a memo stating that despite acknowledging the notice sent to the appellants for taking steps for bringing on record the legal representative of the sole respondent, the appellants have not turned up and given instructions.

2. From the docket entry, it is seen that the sole respondent died wayback in 2013 and the same was recorded. However, till date steps have not been taken by the appellants to implead the legal representatives of the sole respondent.

3. At request of the appellants, the case was adjourned to this day. According to the learned counsel, he has not received any instructions from the appellants to take steps to bring on record the legal representatives of the deceased sole respondent. The memo filed by the appellant is taken on record.

4. For want of steps, the appeal stands dismissed for default. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar


To

- 1.The Principal District Judge,
Chengalpattu.
- 2.The District Munsif,
Chengalpattu.
- 3.The V.R.Section,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to M/S.V.Raghavachari, Advocate Sr.44880

S.A.No.801 of 1998

gp[col]
srg 08/07/2019



WEB COPY