

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 07.01.2019
CORAM
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

W.P.No.349 of 2019

M.S.Karuppiah

...Petitioner

vs.

1. The District Manager,
TASMAC
Kancheepuram North,
No.1, Bangalore High Road,
Thirumazhisai Industrial Estate,
Unit-I, Thirumazhisai.
2. The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
3. The Inspector of Police,
S6, Shankar Nagar Police Station,
Pammal,
Chennai-600 075.

...Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the first respondent to open the TASMAC shop No.4376, which was previously at Adambakkam Village, Alandur Taluk, Kancheepuram district to at Pammal Village, Survey No.114/1 Part, Annasalai Main Road, Pammal Village, Pallavaram Taluk.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Balasubramanian

For Respondents : Mr.P.Arumugarajan
Standing Counsel for R1

Mr.D.Suriyanarayanan
Additional Government Pleader
for R2 & 3

O R D E R

Mr.P.Arumugarajan, learned Standing Counsel takes notice for the first respondent and Mr.D.Suriyanarayanan, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the second and third respondents. By consent of the parties, this main writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The petitioner seeks for mandamus directing the first respondent to open the TASMAC shop No.4376 situated at Pammal Village, Survey No.114/1 Part, Annasalai Main Road, Pammal Village, Pallavaram Taluk.

3. The petitioner is claiming to be the owner of the above said premises. It is stated that the first respondent has taken the said premises on lease for running the TASMAC Shop.

4. The grievance of the petitioner is that even though the said premises was taken on lease, the TASMAC Shop is not opened so far. Therefore, it is contended that the petitioner has put to financial loss by the conduct of the first respondent.

5. The learned standing counsel appearing for the first respondent submitted that the shop will be opened within a period of four weeks and therefore, the petitioner's apprehension is not well founded.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the above said statement of the learned standing counsel for the first respondent can be recorded and the writ petition may be closed.

7. Accordingly, by recording the submission made by the learned standing counsel for the first respondent, as stated supra, this writ petition is closed. No cost.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sni/mk

To

1.The District Manager,
TASMAC
Kancheepuram North,
No.1, Bangalore High Road,
Thirumazhisai Industrial Estate,
Unit-I, Thirumazhisai.

2.The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.

3.The Inspector of Police,
S6, Shankar Nagar Police Station,
Pammal,
Chennai-600 075.

+1cc to Mr.P.Arumugarajan, Advocate, S.R.No.1262
+2ccs to Mr.S.Balasubramanian, Advocate, S.R.No.1297

W.P.No.349 of 2019

SAI (CO)

rrs 31/01/2019



WEB COPY