

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

CONTEPT PETITION NO.347 OF 2018

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO. 457 OF 2013

(Dilipkumar s/o Babulal Sahu ..vs.. Laxman s/o Babulal Sahu)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri J.J. Chandurkar, Counsel for the petitioner.

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATED : 25-03-2019

Perused the praecipe dated 19-3-2019.

2. In view of the statement in praecipe, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the contempt petition.

3. The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

4. However, considering the submission that objections in execution proceedings are preferred by the judgment-debtor notwithstanding that similar and indeed identical objections are earlier rejected and which rejection is confirmed in second appeal, it would be expected that the executing Court shall dispose of the objections as expeditiously as possible and without granting any adjournment unless an exceptionally compelling case is made out.

JUDGE