

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.3602 OF 2018 (D)

(Mahendrakumar Mahavirprasad Sharma Vs. The State of Maharashtra thr. the
Secretary, Urban Development Department, Mumbai and others)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

Shri G.K. Mundhada, Advocate for Petitioner.
Shri Amit Kukday, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 & 3.

CORAM: SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
MILIND N. JADHAV, JJ.

DATE: 16th DECEMBER, 2019.

Civil Application (CAW) No.3336/2019:

Heard.

While allowing the petition in terms of prayer clauses A and B, this Court did not specify the time frame within which the notification regarding lapsing of reservation was to be issued by respondent no.1. However, we had followed the view taken by coordinate Bench of this Court in *Pratap Tularam Ghogale & Ors. v. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.* reported in 2013(5) ALL MR 1, in which, time of three months was granted to the authority for doing a similar act i.e. issuance of notification of the lapsing of reservation. Therefore, even though no time frame has been fixed in this matter. generally speaking, same time period, as is mentioned in Pratap Tularam Ghogale's case (*supra*), would govern the issue involved in this petition.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE