

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO.947 OF 2018

(Sau. Pramila w/o Suresh Pahale vs. State of Maharashtra and another)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders Court's or Judge's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Shri S.M. Nafde, Advocate for applicant.

Shri N.H. Joshi, Additional Public Prosecutor for
respondent no.1.

CORAM : P.N. DESHMUKH AND
 PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.

DATED : SEPTEMBER 3, 2019

None for respondent no.2 though served.

Shri Joshi, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor by referring to para 6 of the affidavit-in-reply
submits that on completion of investigation, "A"
summary report is filed before trial Court and same is
pending for consideration.

In that view of the matter, though
respondent no.2 is not present, we dispose of the
application being infructuous due to filing of "A"
summary report before trial Court granting liberty in
favour of respondent no.2 to contest the same, if found
necessary.

At this stage, Shri Nafde, learned Counsel for
applicant, submits that "A" summary report is pending
before trial Court since 2017 and, therefore, trial Court
may be directed to dispose of the matter expeditiously.

We expect the concerned Court to expedite disposal of the matter within a reasonable time.

JUDGE

JUDGE

khj