

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO.697 OF 2019

Vinod Janardhan Jadhav .Vs. State of Maharashtra, PSO, P.S. Rajura, Tah.
Rajura, Dist. Chandrapur.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions Court's or Judge's orders.
and Registrar's Orders.

Mr. S.V. Sirpurkar, Advocate for applicant.
Mr. P.S. Tembhare, A.P.P. for non applicant-State.

CORAM : V. M. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED : NOVEMBER 05, 2019

Heard Mr. Sirpurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. Tembhare, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the non applicant-State. Also, perused the reply filed on behalf of the prosecuting agency.

After perusal of the reply and after hearing the learned counsel for the applicant, I am of the view that present applicant is not entitled for any discretionary relief inasmuch as apart from the fact he is having four similar crimes, he has not attended the police station and has not cooperated the investigating agency as directed by this Court while granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail on 11.10.2019.

The reply would show that the applicant has not cooperated with the investigating officer by not attending the investigating officer.

The person who has availed benefits of the order is required to adhere with the conditions imposed upon him.

Since the applicant is not attending the investigating officer, in my view, discretion cannot be granted in favour of such person.

The criminal application is rejected.

Needless to mention that *interim* relief granted on 11.10.2019 in favour of the applicant stands vacated.

JUDGE

srwagh