

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 888/2019

Rekha K. Taksande
..VS..
State & ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri A.R. Ingole, Advocate for the petitioner
Shri S.J. Kadu, APP for the respondent/State

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ & PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.
DATED : 30/09/2019

Heard.

By this petition, the petitioner has sought reliefs
as per the following prayers:-

*“(i) take appropriate action against the
respondent nos. 1 to 3 for hatching criminal conspiracy
against the petitioner and thereafter direct the respondent
nos. 1 and 2 to cancel the appointment order issued in favour
of respondent no. 3, being illegal and contrary to the law;*

*(ii) direct the respondent nos. 1 and 2 to
forthwith appoint the petitioner on the post of Conductor
(Junior) reserved for Scheduled Caste (Women) (Sports)
category with seniority and compensation to the petitioner;”*

Shri S.J. Kadu, learned APP has pointed out that
the petitioner had earlier filed W.P. No. 2143/2016 seeking
reliefs in the following terms:-

“1) Issue appropriate writ, order or direction thereby quashing and setting aside the order dated 06.09.2014 (Annexure-16) passed by Respondent No. 2 i.e. Maharashtra State Road Transport Corporation through its Divisional Controller, Wardha Division, Wardha.

2) Issue appropriate writ order or direction directing the respondent no. 2 Maharashtra State Road Transport Corporation through its Divisional Controller, Wardha Division, Wardha to appoint the Petitioner on the post of conductor (Junior), in the interest of justice;

3) Issue appropriate writ order or direction interim directing the respondent no. 2 Maharashtra Road Transport Corporation through its Divisional Controller, Wardha Division, Wardha to appoint the Petitioner on the post of conductor (Junior), in the interest of justice. Grant any other suitable relief in favour of the petitioner which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the present case, in the interest of justice.”

W.P. No. 2143/2016 was dismissed by the Division Bench of this Court by the order dated 11/04/2016. Now, after about 3 years and 3 months, this criminal writ petition is filed seeking same reliefs. It is apparent that filing of this petition is nothing but abuse of process of law.

Hence, the writ petition is **dismissed**. The petitioner shall deposit Rs. Ten Thousand with the registry of this Court towards costs and produce receipt on record of this petition till 11/10/2019.

On deposit of the amount of costs, it be given to the office of public prosecutor.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ansari