

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 6532 OF 2019

Smt. Kamlabai w/o Prabhakar Upadhyay and ors.

vs.

Sunil s/o Ramchandra Mahajan

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri V.B. Palorkar, Advocate for petitioners.

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATED : 16th OCTOBER, 2019

The petitioners are the defendants in Regular Civil Suit 192 of 2012 brought by the respondent-plaintiff for enactment and possession.

2. The defendants were permitted to amend the written statement.

3. The plaintiff sought permission to consequential amend the plaint, which permission is granted by the order impugned.

4. Dissatisfied, the defendants are invoking the writ jurisdiction.

5. I have pursued the application seeking permission to consequentially amend the plaint, and having done so, I do not find any error in the order impugned much-less an error warranting interference in writ jurisdiction.

6. Paragraphs 3A and 3B which are introduced

essential pertain to developments which have occurred during the pendency of the lis. The amendment also appears to be necessary in view of the amendment to the written statement.

7. The petition is without substance and is dismissed.

JUDGE

Trupti