

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.5987/2017

Mangesh Tarachand Gaidhane and another.

Vs.

State of Maharashtra and others.

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

.....
Shri H. D. Dangre, Advocate for the petitioners.
Shri A. S. Fulzele, Additional Government Pleader for
respondent Nos.1 to 3.
Shri A. T. Purohit, Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 and 5.
Ms. Pooja Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.6, 11 and 12.

**CORAM : R.K. DESHPANDE &
MILIND N. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATED : 10th DECEMBER, 2019.

. In view of the objection raised by the learned Counsel appearing for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 that there exists an alternate remedy of approaching the Debt Recovery Tribunal under Section 17 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, Shri H. D. Dangre, the learned Counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the Tribunal.

2. Permission is granted. The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. All questions are left open.

JUDGE

JUDGE

RGurnule