

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 6765/2017

Amrut Laxman Chakole

..VS..

Union of India & ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri S. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Shri P.V. Ghare, Adv h/f Shri A.M. Ghare, Adv for respondent nos. 1 & 2

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.

DATED : 07/03/2019

Heard.

The petitioner has challenged the order passed by the Central Government Industrial Tribunal on 02/04/2014 (wrongly stated as 15/05/2014). The petitioner has also challenged the order passed by the Central Government Industrial Tribunal on 17/11/2014. The petitioner has placed on record at Annexure - E at page no. 145 of the petition the copy of the order-sheet dated 17/11/2014. It is recorded that the award as per separate sheet is passed and pronounced in open Court. The petitioner has not placed on record the copy of the award dated 17/11/2014. On 14/01/2019, the learned advocate for the respondent nos. 1 and 2 had pointed out that the petitioner has not placed on record the copy of the award dated 17/11/2014. On request made by the learned advocate for the petitioner, time to produce the copy of the award dated 17/11/2014 was granted. Copy of the award dated 17/11/2014 is not placed on record till today. The learned

advocate for the petitioner has submitted that request for supplying the certified copy of the award dated 17/11/2014 is made to the concerned ministry on 18/01/2019. On query, it is stated that the communication asking for the certified copy of the award dated 17/11/2014 is sent by ordinary post.

In the above facts, this petition cannot be entertained in as much as the copy of the impugned award dated 17/11/2014 is not placed on record. The order passed by this Court on 14/01/2019 records that though the petition as filed is not maintainable, considering the fact that the petitioner is a labour, time was granted to produce on record the copy of the award dated 17/11/2014. It appears that the petitioner is not serious in pursuing the matter.

Hence, the writ petition is **dismissed** with liberty to the petitioner to file proper petition. In the circumstances, the parties to bear their own costs.

JUDGE

Ansari