

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

Criminal Application (BA) No. 544 of 2019

Dhanraj Dagadu Chavhan

Vs.

State of Maharashtra

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Rishabh Khemuka, Advocate for the applicant
Mrs. H. N. Prabhu, APP for the State/non-applicant

CORAM : M. G. GIRATKAR, J.

DATED : 27/08/2019

Heard learned Counsel Shri Khemuka for the applicant
and learned APP Mrs. Prabhu for the State/non-applicant.

The detail order was passed while rejecting Bail Application no. 310 of 2019. It is observed by this Court in the said order that the proceeding was delayed mainly because of the repeated adjournments sought by the applicant/accused. After filing the present application, again the report was called from the concerned Sessions Judge. District Judge-1 and Addl. Sessions Judge, Pusad vide letter dated 13.08.2019, reported this Court that the accused sought the adjournments and, therefore, matter is delayed.

From the perusal of the report, it appears that Investigation Officer API Jadwar was examined. His examination-

in-chief came to be recorded, but it could not be completed due to the adjournment application filed by the learned Counsel for the accused. Therefore, the said Sessions Case is fixed on 07.08.2019 for cross-examination. It is clear from the report of the District Judge-1 and Addl. Sessions Judge, Pusad that the accused is delaying the matter. Therefore, he is not entitled for any relief.

Hence, the application is **rejected**.

JUDGE