

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 553 OF 2018.

(Rajesh S/o. Ramniklal Sedani, Amravati Vs. State of Maharashtra, thr. PSO, PS Rajapeth,
Amravati & Ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Ms. M.Deshmukh, APP for respondent No.1/State.
Shri V.S.Giramkar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

CORAM : P.N. DESHMUKH AND
PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.
AUGUST 13, 2019.

In spite of keeping the matter back, none appears for petitioner.

This petition is for seeking directions to respondent No.1 to initiate action against respondent No.2 – Bank and respondent No.3, who is alleged to be involved in criminal activities and to initiate action as contemplated under the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure against the accused.

The prayers when considered appears to be totally vague, as no writ in prayers made as aforesaid can be issued.

Even otherwise, petition is repeatedly adjourned on the request of petitioner's counsel for satisfying the Court, if prayers made in the petition can be allowed.

Today, none is present in spite of

opportunity granted to petitioner to make out a case.

In that view of the matter, we find that petitioner is not interested in prosecuting his claim.

Petition is therefore, dismissed in default.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Sumit.