

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

Criminal Application (BA) No. 347 of 2019
(Rajkumar Shaligram Bethekar Vs. State of Maharashtra)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri A.S. Londhe, Advocate for the applicant
Shri S. A. Ashirgade, APP for the State/non-applicant

CORAM : M. G. GIRATKAR, J.

DATED : 02/05/2019

Heard learned Advocate Shri Londhe for the applicant.

2. Shri Ashirgade, learned Additional Prosecutor pointed out the order passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Akola in ST No. 188 of 2014 below Exhibit 39. Learned APP has submitted that previously bail application filed before this Court was rejected and trial court was directed to decide the trial within the period of six months. Instead of proceeding the trial, learned Advocate of the accused/applicant tried to delay the matter.

3. In para 10 of the said order, learned Additional Sessions Judge has observed that *"It is pertinent to note that despite of knowing that the matter is time bound matter by the Hon'ble High Court and today the matter is fixed for evidence and 3 witnesses are present in the Court, the learned advocate for the*

applicant/accused instead of proceeding with the evidence filed the bail application in order to delay the matter”.

4. It appears that learned Advocate appearing for the accused/applicant is intentionally delaying the matter only to get the bail. Hence, application is rejected.

5. Trial court is directed to decide the matter expeditiously without granting any adjournment.

JUDGE

SMG