

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 110 OF 2018
(Krishna Kumar Agrawal vs. Union of India and others)
WITH PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.65 OF 2018
(Court on its own motion vs. State of Maharashtra and others)
WITH PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.66 OF 2018
(Krishna Kumar Agarwal vs. The Ministry of Environment and Forest and others)
WITH PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.145 OF 2018
(Dinesh s/o Manohar Shedame vs. Union of India and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders Court's or Judge's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.110 OF 2018

Shri S.P. Bhandarkar, Advocate for petitioner.
Shri U.M. Aurangabadkar, Assistant Solicitor General of India
for respondent nos.1, 2 and 17.
Ms. N.P. Mehta, Assistant Government Pleader for respondent
nos.3 to 15.
Shri M.N. Upadhyay, Advocate for respondent no.16.
Shri S.S. Sanyal, Advocate for respondent nos.18 to 20.

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.65 OF 2018

Shri A.S. Jaiswal, Senior Advocate – amicus curiae, assisted
by Adv. N.G. Moharir.
Shri A.S. Fulzele, Additional Government Pleader for
respondent nos.1 to 3, 7 to 15, 17 to 30 and 39 to 50.
Shri A. Parchure, Advocate and Shri S.P. Bhandarkar,
Advocate for respondent nos.4 to 6.

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.66 OF 2018

Shri S.P. Bhandarkar, Advocate for petitioner.
Shri U.M. Aurangabadkar, Assistant Solicitor General of India
for respondent no.1.
Ms. N.P. Mehta, Assistant Government Pleader for respondent
nos.2 to 10.
Shri A.M. Ghare, Advocate for respondent no.11.

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.145 OF 2018

Shri D.V. Mahajan, Advocate and Shri A.S. Agrawal, Advocate
for petitioner.

Shri U.M. Aurangabadkar, Assistant Solicitor General of India
for respondent nos.1 and 2.

Shri A.S. Fulzele, Additional Government Pleader for
respondent nos.3 to 13.

CORAM : R.K. DESHPANDE AND
VINAY JOSHI, JJ.

DATED : SEPTEMBER 25, 2019

All these public interest litigations can be disposed of as having become infructuous in view of the fact that a new sand policy has already been framed and brought into force by the State Government. Needless to say that if anyone is aggrieved by such policy, he will be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings.

Accordingly, the public interest litigations stand disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

khj