

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Civil Application [CAO] No.672 of 2019
in
Civil Application [MCA] St. No.5233 of 2019
in
Writ Petition No.6612 of 2018 (D)

Ku. Renuka Anil Chaudhari
 vs.
The State of Maharashtra & others

=====

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
 Coram, appearances, Court's orders
 or directions and Registrar's orders.*

=====

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri R.D. Karode, Advocate for the Applicant/Petitioner.
Shri H.R. Dhumale, A.G.P. for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : R.K. DESHPANDE & S.M. MODAK, JJ.

DATE : 29th MARCH, 2019.

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application,
 delay in filing the review application is condoned.

The civil application is allowed and disposed
 of.

Civil Application [MCA] St. No.5233/2019 :

Heard.

We do not find any ground to entertain this
 review application, particularly when the order of
 which review is sought, was passed, the reported
 decision of this Court in Prabhakar s/o Mallikarjun
Suryawanshi & others vs. State of Maharashtra -
2018(3) Mh.L.J. 503 was not specifically pointed out to
 the Court. It is the contention of the learned Counsel

for the petitioner, in spite of knowing fully well the law laid down by this Court, the petition was dismissed with liberty to exhaust the alternate remedy. Now, reopening of that order would amount to rehearing of the matter.

The review application is, therefore, dismissed. Needless to say that the petitioner shall be at liberty to point out the decision of this Court to the appellate authority for deciding the appeal.

**sandesh*

JUDGE

JUDGE