

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

SECOND APPEAL 144 of 2019

(Smt. Shashikala wd/o. Shankarrao Bhandarkar & ors..vs.. Raj @ Tejraj s/o. Govardhan Indurkar)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

Ms. V.V. Tiwari (appointed) counsel for respondent.

CORAM: ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATE: 16th APRIL, 2019.

The learned counsel for the appellants is absent even on second call.

The learned counsel Ms. V.V. Tiwari points out that in view of the provisions of Section 34(3) of the Maharashtra Rent Act (Act), the Second Appeal is not maintainable.

This Appeal is preferred challenging the judgment in Appeal under section 34 of the Act

The submission of Ms. V.V. Tiwari, the learned counsel is well merited.

The Appeal is dismissed as not maintainable.

The fees of the appointed counsel are quantified at Rs. 3,000/-.

JUDGE