

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1919/2019.

Rakesh Kuwarlal Jambhulkar and others

-VERSUS-

The State of Maharashtra and another.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri M.L. Vairagade, Advocate for Petitioners.
A.G.P. for Respondent No.1.
Shri B.G. Kulkarni, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
Shri N.P. Lambat, Advocate for Respondent No.4.
Shri P.B. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.5.

CORAM : R.K. DESHPANDE &
VINAY JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : JUNE 26, 2019.

Heard.

2. Civil Application No. 1555/2019, seeking directions is rejected, as it claims a relief of direction to respondents not to terminate service of petitioners. The prayer for interim relief travels beyond the scope of the petition, hence, it cannot be entertained. Petitioners are at liberty to adopt appropriate procedure to challenge the termination.

2

2. The claim of petitioners is that only half of the salary is being paid to them. However, this is disputed by respondents. They state that entire salary has been paid to petitioners through bank account.

3. Disputed questions of facts are involved and we find that this cannot be gone into in writ jurisdiction. Petitioners are at liberty to adopt appropriate remedy. Writ Petition is thus, dismissed. All questions raised are kept on. No costs.

JUDGE**JUDGE**

Rgd.