

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.85 OF 2006

(M/s Indorama Textile, A-31, M.I.D.C., Industrial Area, Butibori, Dist. Nagpur Vs.
Union of India thr. Secretary, Ministry of Finance, New Delhi and another)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

Shri Mayank Agnihotri, Advocate h/f Shri M.G. Bhangde, Senior Counsel for
Petitioner.

CORAM: SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.

DATE: 6th DECEMBER, 2019.

Perused the Pursis Stamp No.11396/2019.
The pursis is accompanied by copy of the acknowledgment
of the communication made by the learned counsel for the
petitioner and therefore, we satisfied that for want of
instructions, from the petitioner, the learned Advocate
needs to be discharged as an Advocate for the petitioner.

The petitioner is granted opportunity to engage a
new counsel. If the petitioner does not engage any new
counsel within the time given by this Court, the petition
shall stand dismissed for want of prosecution without
reference to the Court.

S.O. after twelve weeks.

JUDGE

JUDGE