

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 378 OF 2018

(Syed Mustaque Ali Ahmed Ali ..vs.. State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary, Dept. of Municipal Corporation Administration, Mumbai and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Counsel for the petitioner,
Smt. K.S. Joshi, Addl.GP for respondents 1, 2 and 4,
Shri A.B. Patil, Counsel for respondent 3.

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO AND
SMT. PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.
DATED : 22-11-2019

The parties are *ad idem* that since the petitioner was a State Government Employee, the appropriate forum to consider the grievance is Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal.

2. In this view of the matter, Shri R.V. Shiralkar, learned Counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the Tribunal.

3. The petitioner is permitted to withdraw the petition and to approach the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal.

4. Considering that the petitioner has retired, and also in view of the limited scope of the controversy, we would request the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

to consider the grievance of the petitioner as expeditiously as possible.

JUDGE

JUDGE

adgokar