

pmw

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.981 OF 2018
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO.55 OF 2013

The Commissioner of CGST and Central Excise	... Applicant
<u>In the matter between</u>	
The Commissioner of CGST and Central Excise	... Appellant
Vs.	
IMP Powers Ltd.	... Respondent

Mr. M. Dwivedi and Mr. J.B. Mishra for the Applicant/ Appellant.
Mr. Kiran Chavan I/b. Ms. Padmavati Patil for the Respondent.

CORAM : A.S.OKA AND
M.S. SANKLECHA, JJ.

DATE : 27th MARCH 2019.

P.C. :

1 Heard the learned counsel appearing for the applicant. Notice of motion is opposed by the respondent. In view of the averments made in the affidavit-in-support, sufficient cause is made out for condonation of delay and for restoration of the appeal. Accordingly, notice of motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b). We make it clear that on failure of the applicant to remove office objections within a period of four weeks from today, order of rejection will stand and the time will not be extended.

(M.S. SANKLECHA, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)