

Arun

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 7 OF 2017
IN
SUIT NO. 1430 OF 2008**

Anil Saroj, Sole Proprietor of Saroj Associates ...Applicant/
Plaintiff

Versus

Radhadevi R Singh & Ors ...Defendants

Mr KT Kukreja, *i/b MGS Legal, for the Applicant/Plaintiff.*

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 8th April 2019

PC:-

1. The Chamber Summons is for amendment. It has been served a long time ago. There is a delay of 3134 days in applying for amendment.

2. Mr Kukreja explains that the need for the amendment was realised only when the Plaintiff changed Advocates. The amendments in any case do not alter the cause of action. In fact the amendment is necessary because it makes an important correction where instead of a figure of Rs.3.26 crores payable by the Plaintiff to the Defendants, the amount is corrected to be read as R.3.51 crores,

a benefit of about Rs.25 lakhs to the Defendants. The Defendants can have no objection to this. This is why they have filed no Reply to the Chamber Summons.

3. The Chamber Summons is made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b). Amendments to be carried out within three weeks from today.

4. All concerned will act on production of authenticated copy of this order.

(G. S. PATEL, J)