

*Sharayu Khot.*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 54 OF 2012**

**Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited** **...Claimant**

*Versus*

**Meena Lala** **...Respondent**

**WITH**

**EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 55 OF 2012**

**HDFC Bank Ltd.** **...Claimant**

*Versus*

**Shri Govind Kamble & Anr.** **...Respondents**

**WITH**

**EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 56 OF 2012**

**HDFC Bank Ltd.** **...Claimant**

*Versus*

**Shri S M Enterprises & Anr.** **...Respondents**

**WITH**

**EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 63 OF 2012**

**Kotak Mahindra Bank** **...Claimant**

*Versus*

**Dipen Mukesh Mehta** **...Respondent**

**WITH**

**EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 64 OF 2012**

**M/s. Royal Bank of Scotland N.V.** **...Claimant**

*Versus*

**Hyder Rizvi** **...Respondent**

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 65 OF 2012  
M/s. Royal Bank of Scotland N.V. ...Claimant

*Versus*

Thrity Irani ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 66 OF 2012  
M/s. Royal Bank of Scotland N.V. ...Claimant

*Versus*

Vijay Barasker ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 67 OF 2012  
M/s. Royal Bank of Scotland N.V. ...Claimant

*Versus*

Monaj Pradhan ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 68 OF 2012  
Reliance Capital Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Ankita Knit Wear Limited & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 69 OF 2012  
The Cosmos Co-op Bank Ltd. ...Claimant

*Versus*

M/s. Daffodils & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 70 OF 2012  
The Cosmos Co-op Bank Ltd. ...Claimant

*Versus*

|                                      |                |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| M/s. Raj Sales Agencies & Ors.       | ...Respondents |
| WITH                                 |                |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 71 OF 2012 |                |
| The Cosmos Co-op Bank Ltd.           | ...Claimant    |

*Versus*

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Mrs. Mansi Sharadchandra Nisal & Ors. | ...Respondent |
| WITH                                  |               |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 72 OF 2012  |               |
| The Cosmos Co-op Bank Ltd.            | ...Claimant   |

*Versus*

|                                      |                |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Mrs. Seema Kalpak Nakhwa & Ors.      | ...Respondents |
| WITH                                 |                |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 73 OF 2012 |                |
| The Cosmos Co-op Bank Ltd.           | ...Claimant    |

*Versus*

|                                      |                |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| M/s. Ravi Organics & Ors.            | ...Respondents |
| WITH                                 |                |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 77 OF 2012 |                |
| Magma Housing Finance                | ...Claimant    |

*Versus*

|                                      |                |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Blue Sky Electronics & Ors.          | ...Respondents |
| WITH                                 |                |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 79 OF 2012 |                |
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited          | ...Claimant    |

*Versus*

|                     |               |
|---------------------|---------------|
| Shivram B Manjrekar | ...Respondent |
|---------------------|---------------|

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 80 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Rajesh Himatlal Bhayani ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 81 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Vijay Babulal Shah ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 94 OF 2012  
M/s. Religare Finvest Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Umesh Chimanlal Kamdar ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 97 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Prashant K Vichare ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 98 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Dr. Narendra Ramsurat Tripathi ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 99 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Kausar Anizar Ansari                  | ...Respondent |
| WITH                                  |               |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 100 OF 2012 |               |
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited           | ...Claimant   |

*Versus*

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Ram Parasnath Singh                   | ...Respondent |
| WITH                                  |               |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 101 OF 2012 |               |
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited           | ...Claimant   |

*Versus*

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Saiprasad Pudisetty                   | ...Respondent |
| WITH                                  |               |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2012 |               |
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited           | ...Claimant   |

*Versus*

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Abhay Namdev Shetye                   | ...Respondent |
| WITH                                  |               |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 103 OF 2012 |               |
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited           | ...Claimant   |

*Versus*

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Ashok Trilokchand Lalchandani         | ...Respondent |
| WITH                                  |               |
| EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2012 |               |
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited           | ...Claimant   |

*Versus*

|                         |               |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| Raju Ravindra Pingulkar | ...Respondent |
|-------------------------|---------------|

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 105 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Rqajesh Sudhakar Patil ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 106 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Naresh Ganesh Bhatia ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 107 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Santosh Sukhdev Yadav ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 108 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Sita Dsouza ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 109 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Shakeel Ansari ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 110 OF 2012  
Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Claimant

*Versus*

Faiz Gulam Multani ...Respondent  
WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 111 OF 2012  
(No R & P)

Rajsaheb Rekchand Mohata ...Claimant

*Versus*

Innovative Print Forms Ltd. ...Respondent  
WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 112 OF 2012  
(No R & P)

Rekha Harakhchand Gada ...Claimant

*Versus*

Chandrakant Harakhchand Kenia ...Respondent  
WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 113 OF 2012  
(No R & P)

Geeta Tilak Gada ...Claimant

*Versus*

Chandrakant Harakhchand Kenia ...Respondent  
WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 114 OF 2012  
(No R & P)

Suresh Devji More ...Claimant

*Versus*

Vinod K. Gupta ...Respondent

WITH  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 115 OF 2012

(No R & P)

M/s. Subrahmanyeswara Agro Products ...Claimant

*Versus*

Kamani Lil Industries Prop. Chawla Bos Pvt. ...Respondent  
Ltd.

-----  
None for the Applicant/ Plaintiff.

-----  
CORAM : R.I. CHAGLA J.

DATE : 26 August 2019

**ORDER :**

1. These Execution Applications have not been proceeded with for a period of over 12 months from the date of filing of these Applications and have been placed by the Prothonotary and Senior Master under Rule 329 of the Bombay High Court Original Side Rules before this Court for dismissal for want of prosecution.

2. As none appears for the Applicants, the Execution Applications are dismissed with no order as to costs.

[R.I. CHAGLA J.]