

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 351 OF 2018

Ashok Jagjivan Gokal ... Petitioner
Versus

The Union of India and Anr. ... Respondents

Adv Henna Daulat I/by M/s. Crawford Bayley & Co. for the
Petitioner.

Adv Taha I/by Mr. Ashish Mehta for respondent nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKAKRI &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.**

DATE : FEBRUARY 21, 2019

P.C.:

As the DIN number of the petitioner is continued, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the grievance does not survive for the present. Counsel for respondent nos.1 and 2 is seeking time as he is not aware of the recent developments.

Accepting the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner upon instructions and at the risk of the petitioner, we dispose of the petition as infructuous. However, all the contentions are kept open and petitioner is given a liberty to approach again if any cause of action arises.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.) (B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)