

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.895 OF 2016

The Principal Commissioner of Income Tax-33 Mumbai	...	Appellant
versus		
Rajkumar Agarwal	...	Respondent

Mr. Arvind Pinto, for Appellant.
None for Respondent.

**CORAM: AKIL KURESHI &
S.J. KATHAWALLA, JJ.**

DATE: 11th JUNE, 2019

P.C.:

1. This Appeal under Section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (“the Act” for short) has been filed challenging the order passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal.
2. The learned Counsel appearing in support of the Appeal states that he has been instructed to withdraw the above Appeal. This is for the reason that the tax effect involved in this Appeal is less than the threshold limit of Rs.50 Lakhs as provided in CBDT Circular No.3 of 2018 dated 11.07.2018.
3. In view of the above submission, the Appeal is dismissed as not pressed.
4. Refund of Court Fees, as per rules.

(S.J.KATHAWALLA, J.)

(AKIL KURESHI, J.)