

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 1 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2849 OF 2012

WITH

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE NO. 304 OF 2017

Nisha Ishwar Khubchandani and Anr.	}	Petitioners
versus		
Harsha Co-operative Housing Society	}	
Limited and Ors.	}	Respondents

Mr. Shailesh C. Naidu with Mr. Vijay B. Dhingreja, Mr. Ravish Mishra and Mr. Nishith Sharma i/b. Mr. Vijay B. Dhingreja for the petitioner.

Mr. P. S. Dani-Senior Advocate with Mr. Piyush N. Shah and Mr. Jay Vora for respondent nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Shreepad Murthy with Mr. Abhishek Patil for respondent no. 3.

Mr. Ashwin Sakolkar with Ms. Rupali Adhate for the Municipal Corporation.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G. S. PATEL, JJ.

DATED :- JUNE 17, 2019

P.C. :-

1. In the light of the subsequent developments, particularly the talks of settlement and bearing in mind the nature of the order, it is not possible to pursue this contempt petition. It is disposed of.

2. Needless to clarify that, any order in the contempt petition will not prejudice the *inter-se* rights nor the contentions. All of them and of both sides are kept open. In the event there is no settlement, the petitioners can revive the proceedings before the learned Single Judge and seek such reliefs as are permissible in law.

3. In the light of the disposal of the contempt petition, the show cause notice does not survive and stands disposed of as such.

(G.S.PATEL, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)